arXiv:1509.06974v1 [math.CA] 18 Sep 2015

Estimates for norms of two-weighted summation operators on trees for $1 < p < q < \infty$

A.A. Vasil'eva

Abstract

In this paper, estimates for norms of weighted summation operators (discrete Hardy-type operators) on a tree are obtained for $1 < p < q < \infty$ and for arbitrary weights and trees.

1 Introduction

First we give some notation.

Throughout this paper we consider graphs $\mathcal{G}$ with finite or countable vertex set, which will be denoted by $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G})$. Also we suppose that the graphs have neither multiple edges nor loops. Given a function $f : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a number $1 < p < \infty$, we set

$$\|f\|_{l_p(\mathcal{G})} = \left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G})} |f(\xi)|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Denote by $l_p(\mathcal{G})$ the space of functions $f : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{R}$ with finite norm $\|f\|_{l_p(\mathcal{G})}$.

Let $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, \xi_0)$ be a tree rooted at ξ_0. We introduce a partial order on $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$ as follows: we say that $\xi' > \xi$ if there exists a simple path $(\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \xi')$ such that $\xi = \xi_k$ for some $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$; by the distance between ξ and ξ' we mean the quantity $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(\xi, \xi') = \rho_{\mathcal{T}}(\xi', \xi) = n+1-k$. In addition, we set $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(\xi, \xi) = 0$. If $\xi' > \xi$ or $\xi' = \xi$, we write $\xi' \geqslant \xi$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$, let

$$\mathbf{V}_j^{\mathcal{T}}(\xi) := \{\xi' \geqslant \xi : \ \rho_{\mathcal{T}}(\xi, \xi') = j\}.$$

Given $\xi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_\xi = (\mathcal{T}_\xi, \xi)$ the subtree in $\mathcal{T}$ with the vertex set

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_\xi) = \{\xi' \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}) : \xi' \geqslant \xi\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{W} \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$. We say that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is a maximal subgraph on the vertex set $\mathbf{W}$ if $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbf{W}$ and if any two vertices ξ', $\xi'' \in \mathbf{W}$ that are adjacent in $\mathcal{T}$ are also adjacent in $\mathcal{G}$. Given ξ, $\xi' \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$, $\xi \leqslant \xi'$, we denote by $[\xi, \xi']$ the maximal subgraph on the vertex set $\{\eta \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}) : \ \xi \leqslant \eta \leqslant \xi'\}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a subgraph in $(\mathcal{T},\, \xi_0)$. Denote by $\mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathbf{V}_{\min}(\mathcal{G})$ the set of maximal and minimal vertices in $\mathcal{G}$, respectively.

Let $(\mathcal{T},\, \xi_0)$ be a tree, and let $u,\, w : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}) \to [0,\, \infty)$ be weight functions. Define the summation operator $S_{u,w,\mathcal{T}}$ by

$$S_{u,w,\mathcal{T}}f(\xi) = w(\xi)\sum_{\xi'\leqslant\xi} u(\xi')f(\xi'), \quad \xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}), \quad f:\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})\to\mathbb{R}.$$

Given $1 < p,\, q < \infty$, by $\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{T},u,w}$ we denote the operator norm of $S_{u,w,\mathcal{T}} : l_p(\mathcal{T}) \to l_q(\mathcal{T})$, which is the minimal constant C in the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})} w^q(\xi)\left|\sum_{\xi'\leqslant\xi} u(\xi')f(\xi')\right|^q\right)^{1/q} \leqslant C\left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})} |f(\xi)|^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad f:\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})\to\mathbb{R}.$$

Let X, Y be arbitrary sets, $f_1,\, f_2 :\; X\times Y\to\mathbb{R}_+$. We write $f_1(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\lesssim} f_2(x,\, y)$ (or $f_2(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\gtrsim} f_1(x,\, y)$) if, for any $y\in Y$, there exists $c(y) > 0$ such that $f_1(x,\, y) \leqslant c(y)f_2(x,\, y)$ for each $x\in X$; $f_1(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\asymp} f_2(x,\, y)$ if $f_1(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\lesssim} f_2(x,\, y)$ and $f_2(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\lesssim} f_1(x,\, y)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\, \xi_0)$ be a tree, and let $u,\, w : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{R}_+$. Suppose that $1 < p < q < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w} \underset{p,q}{\asymp} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} \|u\|_{l_{p'}([\xi_0,\,\xi])}\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)}.$$

In [1] this result was proved under some restrictions on weights (see Theorems 1.2 and 3.6).

Given $f : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\|f\|_{l_q(l_p(\mathcal{A}))} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}_j(\xi_0)} |f(\xi)|^p\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By $\hat{\mathfrak{S}}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w}$ we denote the operator norm of $S_{u,w,\mathcal{A}} : l_q(l_p(\mathcal{A})) \to l_q(\mathcal{A})$. If $p \leqslant q$, then $\|f\|_{l_p(\mathcal{A})} \geqslant \|f\|_{l_q(l_p(\mathcal{A}))}$ and

$$\hat{\mathfrak{S}}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w} \geqslant \mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w}. \tag{1}$$

Let

$$u(\xi) = u_j, \quad w(\xi) = w_j, \quad \xi\in\mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}_j(\xi_0). \tag{2}$$

In addition, we suppose that there exist a number $C_* \geqslant 1$ and a function $S : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$C_*^{-1}\frac{S(j')}{S(j)} \leqslant \operatorname{card} \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}_{j-j'}(\xi) \leqslant C_* \frac{S(j')}{S(j)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}_j(\xi_0), \quad j' \geqslant j; \tag{3}$$

$$S(0) = 1; \tag{4}$$

there exist $R_0 \geqslant R > 1$ such that

$$R_0 \geqslant \frac{S(j+1)}{S(j)} \geqslant R. \tag{5}$$

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $1 < p < q < \infty$ and conditions (2), (3), (4), (5) hold. Then*

$$\hat{\mathfrak{S}}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w} \underset{p,q,C_*,R,R_0}{\asymp} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} u_j \left(\sum_{i\geqslant j} w_i^q \frac{S(i)}{S(j)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \underset{p,q,C_*,R,R_0}{\asymp} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} u(\xi)\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)}.$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1

The lower estimate for $\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w}$ was obtained in [1, Lemma 3.3]. In addition, the following result was proved (see [1, Lemma 3.1]).

Lemma 1. *Let $1 < p < q < \infty$. Then there exists $\sigma = \sigma(p, q) \in \left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$ with the following property: if $(\mathcal{A}, \xi_0)$ is a tree with finite vertex set, $u, w : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) \to (0, \infty)$,*

$$\frac{\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\eta)}}{\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)}} \leqslant \sigma \quad \textit{for any} \quad \xi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \eta \in \mathbf{V}^{\mathcal{A}}_1(\xi),$$

then $\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w} \underset{p,q}{\asymp} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} u(\xi)\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)}$.

Lemma 1 was proved by induction; here the discrete analogue of Evans – Harris – Pick theorem [2] was applied.

Let $\{\mathcal{T}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of subtrees in $\mathcal{T}$ such that $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_j) \cap \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_{j'}) = \varnothing$ for $j \neq j'$ and $\cup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_j) = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T})$. Then $\{\mathcal{T}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called a partition of the tree $\mathcal{T}$. Let ξ_j be the minimal vertex of $\mathcal{T}_j$. We say that the tree $\mathcal{T}_s$ succeeds the tree $\mathcal{T}_j$ (or $\mathcal{T}_j$ precedes the tree $\mathcal{T}_s$) if $\xi_j < \xi_s$ and

$$\{\xi \in \mathcal{T} : \ \xi_j \leqslant \xi < \xi_s\} \subset \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{T}_j).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. By B. Levi's theorem, without loss of generality we may assume that the tree $\mathcal{A}$ has a finite vertex set. In addition, we may consider only strictly positive weight functions u, w.

Let $\sigma = \sigma(p, q) \in \left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$ be as defined in Lemma 1. Given $\xi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$, we denote

$$\mathbf{V}_{\xi,\sigma} = \{\eta \geqslant \xi : \ \|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\eta)} \geqslant \sigma \|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)}\}. \tag{6}$$

Then $\xi \in \mathbf{V}_{\xi,\sigma}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}$ the maximal subgraph in $\mathcal{A}$ on the vertex set $\mathbf{V}_{\xi,\sigma}$. Notice that $\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}$ is a tree.

We claim that

$$\|u\|_{l_{p'}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})} \|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)} \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} \|u\|_{l_{p'}([\xi_0, \eta])} \|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\eta)}. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, let $\eta \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})$. Then there exists a vertex $\zeta_\eta \in \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})$ such that $\eta \in [\xi, \zeta_\eta]$. Indeed, otherwise for any vertex $\zeta \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}) \cap \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_\eta)$ the set $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}) \cap \mathbf{V}_1^{\mathcal{A}}(\zeta)$ is nonempty. Hence, we can construct an infinite chain $\zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \zeta_3 < \dots$, $\zeta_j \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})$. This contradicts with the assumption that the set $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})$ is finite.

Thus, $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}) = \cup_{\zeta \in \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})} [\xi, \zeta]$. Therefore,

$$\|u\|^{p'}_{l_{p'}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})} \leqslant \sum_{\zeta \in \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})} \sum_{\xi \leqslant \eta \leqslant \zeta} u^{p'}(\eta). \tag{8}$$

Let us prove that

$$\operatorname{card} \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}) \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} 1. \tag{9}$$

Indeed, if $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})$, then these vertices are incomparable and $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_\zeta) \cap \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_{\zeta'}) = \varnothing$. Hence,

$$\|w\|^q_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)} \geqslant \sum_{\zeta \in \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})} \|w\|^q_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\zeta)} \overset{(6)}{\geqslant} \operatorname{card} \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}) \sigma^q \|w\|^q_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)};$$

i.e., $\operatorname{card} \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma}) \leqslant \sigma^{-q}$. From (8) and (9) it follows that there exists a vertex $\zeta_* \in \mathbf{V}_{\max}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})$ such that

$$\|w\|^{p'}_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)} \|u\|^{p'}_{l_{p'}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})} \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} \|w\|^{p'}_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\xi)} \sum_{\xi \leqslant \eta \leqslant \zeta_*} u^{p'}(\eta) \overset{(6)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant \sigma^{-p'} \|w\|^{p'}_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_{\zeta_*})} \sum_{\xi \leqslant \eta \leqslant \zeta_*} u^{p'}(\eta).$$

This implies (7).

Let us construct a partition of the tree $\mathcal{A}$ into subtrees $(\mathcal{A}_m, \hat{\xi}_m)$, $1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_*$, such that

$$\mathcal{A}_m = \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_m,\sigma}, \quad 1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_*. \tag{10}$$

To this end we construct a family of partitions of the tree $\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_k \sqcup \mathcal{G}_k$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant k_*$; here $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_k$ is a subtree in $\mathcal{A}$ rooted at ξ_0, $\mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_k) = \sqcup_{m=1}^{m_k} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_m)$, and $\mathcal{G}_k$ is a disjoint union of trees $\mathcal{A}_{\eta_{k,j}}$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant j_k$. In addition, $m_{k+1} > m_k$.

1. We set $\mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0) = \varnothing$, $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{A}$, $m_0 = 0$.
2. Suppose that a partition $\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_k \sqcup \mathcal{G}_k$ is constructed and $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_k) \neq \varnothing$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k+1}$ the maximal subgraph on the vertex set $\mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_k) \cup \left(\cup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant j_k} \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}_{\eta_{k,j},\sigma})\right)$, and by $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$, the maximal subgraph on the vertex set $\mathcal{G}_k \backslash \left(\cup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant j_k} \mathcal{A}_{\eta_{k,j},\sigma}\right)$. Let $\{\mathcal{A}_m\}_{1\leqslant m\leqslant m_{k+1}} = \{\mathcal{A}_m\}_{1\leqslant m\leqslant m_k} \cup \{\mathcal{A}_{\eta_{k,j},\sigma}\}_{1\leqslant j\leqslant j_k}$. If $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_{k+1}) = \varnothing$, then we stop.

From (6) and (10) it follows that

$$\frac{\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_k})}}{\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_m})}} < \sigma \quad \text{if} \quad \mathcal{A}_k \text{ succeeds } \mathcal{A}_m. \tag{11}$$

We define the tree $\mathcal{D}$ with the vertex set $\{\hat{\xi}_m\}_{1\leqslant m\leqslant m_*}$ as follows: we write $\hat{\xi}_l \in \mathbf{V}_1^{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\xi}_m)$ if and only if the tree $\mathcal{A}_l$ succeeds the tree $\mathcal{A}_m$. Let $\hat{u}$, $\hat{w} : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}) \to (0, \infty)$,

$$\hat{u}(\hat{\xi}_m) = \|u\|_{l_{p'}(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_m,\sigma})}, \quad \hat{w}(\hat{\xi}_m) = \|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_m,\sigma})}. \tag{12}$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w} \leqslant \mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{D},\hat{u},\hat{w}} \tag{13}$$

(it can be proved similarly as Lemma 3.4 in [1]).

We have

$$\|\hat{w}\|_{l_q(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\xi}_m})} = \|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_m})}. \tag{14}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\|\hat{w}\|_{l_q(\mathcal{D}_{\xi'})}}{\|\hat{w}\|_{l_q(\mathcal{D}_{\xi})}} \overset{(11)}{<} \sigma, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}), \quad \xi' \in \mathbf{V}_1^{\mathcal{D}}(\xi).$$

Applying Lemma 1, we get that

$$\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{D},\hat{u},\hat{w}} \underset{p,q}{\asymp} \sup_{1\leqslant m\leqslant m_*} \hat{u}(\hat{\xi}_m)\|\hat{w}\|_{l_q(\mathcal{D}_{\hat{\xi}_m})} \overset{(12),(14)}{=} \sup_{1\leqslant m\leqslant m_*} \|u\|_{l_{p'}(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_m,\sigma})}\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_{\hat{\xi}_m})} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sup_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} \|u\|_{l_{p'}(\mathcal{A}_{\xi,\sigma})}\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_{\xi})} \underset{p,q}{\overset{(7)}{\lesssim}} \sup_{\eta\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} \|u\|_{l_{p'}([\xi_0,\eta])}\|w\|_{l_q(\mathcal{A}_\eta)}.$$

This together with (13) completes the proof. □

3 Proof of Theorem 2

The following result was proved by G. Bennett [3].

Theorem A. (see [3]). *Let* $1 < p \leqslant q < \infty$, *and let* $\hat{u} = \{\hat{u}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, $\hat{w} = \{\hat{w}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ *be non-negative sequences such that*

$$M_{\hat{u},\hat{w}} := \sup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \Big(\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \hat{w}_n^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{m} \hat{u}_n^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty.$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\hat{u},\hat{w}}$ *be the minimal constant* C *in the inequality*

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \hat{w}_n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{u}_k f_k \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \leqslant C \left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} |f_n|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in l_p.$$

Then $\mathfrak{S}^{p,q}_{\hat{u},\hat{w}} \underset{p,q}{\asymp} M_{\hat{u},\hat{w}}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. By (1), (2), (3) and Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\hat{\mathfrak{S}}^{p,q}_{\mathcal{A},u,w} \underset{p,q,C_*,R,R_0}{\lesssim} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} u_j \left(\sum_{i\geqslant j} w_i^q \frac{S(i)}{S(j)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Denote by $\{\eta_{j,i}\}_{i\in I_j}$ the set of vertices $\mathbf{V}_j^{\mathcal{A}}(\xi_0)$.

Let $h : \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $\|h\|_{l_p(\mathcal{A})} = 1$, $f(\xi) = \sum\limits_{\eta\leqslant\xi} u(\eta)h(\eta)$. We estimate from above the magnitude

$$\sum_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} w^q(\xi) \left(\sum_{\eta\leqslant\xi} u(\eta)h(\eta) \right)^q = \sum_{\xi\in\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{A})} w^q(\xi) f^q(\xi).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Denote by X_n the disjoint union of intervals $\Delta_{n,i}$ $(i \in I_n)$ of unit length. Let μ_n be a measure on X_n such that $\mu_n(\Delta_{n,i}) = 1$ and the restriction of μ_n on $\Delta_{n,i}$ is the Lebesgue measure. Then

$$\mu_n(X_n) = \operatorname{card} \mathbf{V}_n^{\mathcal{A}}(\xi_0) \underset{C_*}{\overset{(3),(4)}{\asymp}} S(n). \tag{15}$$

We define the function $\varphi : X_n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi|_{\Delta_{n,i}} = f(\eta_{n,i}). \tag{16}$$

Given $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, $s \in I_k$, we set $Q_{k,s} = \cup_{\eta_{n,i}\geqslant\eta_{k,s}} \Delta_{n,i}$. Then

$$\mu_n(Q_{k,s}) = \operatorname{card}\{i \in I_n : \eta_{n,i} \geqslant \eta_{k,s}\} \underset{C_*}{\overset{(3)}{\asymp}} \frac{S(n)}{S(k)}. \tag{17}$$

Let

$$P_k\varphi|_{Q_{k,s}} = \sum_{\eta<\eta_{k,s}} u(\eta)h(\eta), \quad E_k\varphi = \varphi - P_k\varphi. \tag{18}$$

In addition, we set

$$P_{n+1}\varphi := \varphi, \quad E_{n+1}\varphi := 0. \tag{19}$$

Let us estimate from above the value

$$\sum_{i\in I_n} |f(\eta_{n,i})|^q \overset{(16)}{=} \|\varphi\|^q_{L_q(X_n)}. \tag{20}$$

To this end, we argue similarly as in [4, Theorem 4.1], [5].

Denote by $\varphi^* : [0, \mu_n(X_n)] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ the non-increasing rearrangement of the function $|\varphi|$. Then for any $t \in [0, \mu_n(X_n)]$ there exists a set $Y_t \subset X_n$ such that

$$\mu_n(Y_t) = t, \quad \forall x \in Y_t \quad |\varphi(x)| \geqslant \varphi^*(t). \tag{21}$$

Then

$$\varphi^*(t) \leqslant \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L_p(Y_t)}}{[\mu_n(Y_t)]^{1/p}}. \tag{22}$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\frac{\mu_n(X_n)}{S(k+1)} < t \leqslant \frac{\mu_n(X_n)}{S(k)}. \tag{23}$$

We set $k_n = \min\{k,\, n+1\}$. Then

$$\|\varphi\|_{L_p(Y_t)} \overset{(18),(19)}{\leqslant} \|E_{k_n}\varphi\|_{L_p(Y_t)} + \sup_{x\in X_n} |P_{k_n}\varphi(x)|[\mu_n(Y_t)]^{1/p}.$$

This together with (22) yields that

$$\varphi^*(t) \leqslant \|E_{k_n}\varphi\|_{L_p(Y_t)}[\mu_n(Y_t)]^{-1/p} + \sup_{x\in X_n} |P_{k_n}\varphi(x)|.$$

From (5), (21) and (23) we obtain

$$\varphi^*(t) \leqslant \|E_{k_n}\varphi\|_{L_p(Y_t)}[\mu_n(X_n)]^{-1/p}[S(k)]^{1/p} + \sup_{x\in X_n} |P_{k_n}\varphi(x)|. \tag{24}$$

Let $k_n \geqslant 1$ (otherwise, $P_{k_n}\varphi = 0$), and let $x \in Q_{k_n-1,s}$. For any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_n - 1$ there exists the unique $l_j \in I_j$ such that $\eta_{j,l_j} \leqslant \eta_{k_n-1,s}$. Then

$$(P_{j+1}\varphi - P_j\varphi)|_{Q_{j,l_j}} \overset{(18),(19)}{=} \text{const}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_n - 1. \tag{25}$$

Observe that $P_0\varphi = 0$. Hence,

$$|P_{k_n}\varphi(x)| \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{k_n-1} |P_{j+1}\varphi(x) - P_j\varphi(x)| \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{k_n-1} \|P_{j+1}\varphi - P_j\varphi\|_{C(Q_{j,l_j})} \overset{(25)}{=}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=0}^{k_n-1} \|P_{j+1}\varphi - P_j\varphi\|_{L_p(Q_{j,l_j})}[\mu_n(Q_{j,l_j})]^{-1/p} \underset{p,C_*}{\overset{(17),(18),(19)}{\lesssim}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{k_n-1} \left[\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right]^{1/p} \left(\|E_j\varphi\|_{L_p(Q_{j,l_j})} + \|E_{j+1}\varphi\|_{L_p(Q_{j,l_j})}\right) \underset{p,R,R_0}{\overset{(5)}{\lesssim}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{k_n} \left[\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right]^{1/p} \|E_j\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)} \overset{(19)}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{k,n\}} \left[\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right]^{1/p} \|E_j\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with (15) and (24) implies that

$$
\varphi^*(t) \underset{p,C_*,R,R_0}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{k,n\}} \left[\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right]^{1/p} \|E_j\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)} \quad \text{if } \frac{\mu_n(X_n)}{S(k+1)} < t \leqslant \frac{\mu_n(X_n)}{S(k)}. \tag{26}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{X_n} |\varphi(x)|^q \, dx = \int\limits_0^{\mu_n(X_n)} |\varphi^*(t)|^q \, dt \underset{p,q,C_*,R,R_0}{\overset{(4),(5),(15),(26)}{\lesssim}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{S(n)}{S(k)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \left[\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right]^{1/p} \|E_j\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)} \right)^q =: A.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}
$$

We claim that

$$
A \underset{p,q,C_*,R,R_0}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \|E_j\varphi\|^q_{L_p(X_n)}. \tag{28}
$$

Indeed, let $\psi_j = \left(\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \|E_j\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)}$. Then (28) follows from Theorem A and the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\leqslant k\leqslant n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \left[\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right]^{q'/q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{n} \frac{S(n)}{S(j)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \underset{p,q,R,R_0}{\overset{(5)}{\lesssim}} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{0\leqslant k\leqslant n} \left(\frac{S(k)}{S(n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \left(\frac{S(n)}{S(k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\|E_j\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)} \overset{(18),(19)}{=} \left\| \sum_{i=j+1}^{n+1} (P_i\varphi - P_{i-1}\varphi) \right\|_{L_p(X_n)} \leqslant \sum_{i=j+1}^{n+1} \|P_i\varphi - P_{i-1}\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)}.
$$

From (27) and (28) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\varphi\|^q_{L_q(X_n)} \underset{p,q,R,R_0,C_*}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{n+1} \|P_i\varphi - P_{i-1}\varphi\|_{L_p(X_n)} \right)^q \underset{p,q,R,R_0}{\lesssim} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left(\frac{S(j)}{S(n)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \|P_j\varphi - P_{j-1}\varphi\|^q_{L_p(X_n)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}
$$

The last inequality follows from Theorem A and (5) since

$$\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n+1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(\frac{S(i)}{S(n)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}-1}\right)^{1/q}\left(\sum_{i=j}^{n+1}\left(\frac{S(n)}{S(i)}\right)^{q'\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)^{1/q'}\overset{(5)}{\underset{p,q,R,R_0}{\lesssim}} 1.$$

Further,

$$\|P_j\varphi-P_{j-1}\varphi\|^p_{L_p(X_n)}\overset{(18)}{=}\sum_{s\in I_{j-1}}\int\limits_{Q_{j-1,s}}\left|\sum_{\eta\leqslant\eta_{j-1,s}}u(\eta)h(\eta)-\sum_{\eta<\eta_{j-1,s}}u(\eta)h(\eta)\right|^p d\mu_n\overset{(2),(17)}{\underset{p,q,R,R_0}{\lesssim}}$$

$$\lesssim\sum_{s\in I_{j-1}}u^p_{j-1}|h(\eta_{j-1,s})|^p\frac{S(n)}{S(j-1)}=\frac{S(n)}{S(j-1)}u^p_{j-1}\sum_{s\in I_{j-1}}|h(\eta_{j-1,s})|^p.$$

This together with (5) and (29) implies that

$$\|\varphi\|^q_{L_q(X_n)}\underset{C_*,p,q,R,R_0}{\lesssim}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{S(n)}{S(k)}u_k^q\left(\sum_{s\in I_k}|h(\eta_{k,s})|^p\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}w_n^q\sum_{i\in I_n}|f(\eta_{n,i})|^q\overset{(20)}{\underset{p,q,R,R_0}{\lesssim}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}w_n^q\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{S(n)}{S(k)}u_k^q\left(\sum_{s\in I_k}|h(\eta_{k,s})|^p\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}=$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{s\in I_k}|h(\eta_{k,s})|^p\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}u_k^q\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}w_n^q\frac{S(n)}{S(k)}\leqslant$$

$$\leqslant\left[\sup_{0\leqslant k<\infty}u_k^q\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}w_n^q\frac{S(n)}{S(k)}\right]\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{s\in I_k}|h(\eta_{k,s})|^p\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

[1] A.A. Vasil'eva, "Estimates for norms of two-weighted summation operators on a tree under some restrictions on weights", *Math. Nachr.*, **288**:10 (2015), 1179–1202.

[2] W.D. Evans, D.J. Harris, L. Pick, "Weighted Hardy and Poincaré inequalities on trees", *J. London Math. Soc.*, **52**:2 (1995), 121–136.

[3] G. Bennett, Some elementary inequalities. III, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **42**, 149–174 (1991).

[4] I.P. Irodova, "Dyadic Besov spaces", *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, **12**:3 (2001), 379–405.

[5] I.P. Irodova, "Piecewise polynomial approximation methods in the theory Nikol'skii – Besov spaces", *J. Math. Sci.*, **209**:3 (2015), 319–480.